

The INHERIT pilot studies – working across sectors

Geir Arild Espnes

NTNU Center for Health Promotion
Research



This presentation


- WP 4 tasks
- How have we worked
- Status
- Next steps



Overarching goals



Work across sectors –
and triple wins: health,
equity and environment.



A holistic approach to pilots



Contribute to the evidence base on effective "triple win" initiatives


WP 4 goals: Identify, develop and implement inter-sector pilots:

- Select promising practices from across Europe in the areas of living, moving and consuming according to a set of defined INHERIT criteria.
- Out of the selected promising practices, define pilot projects in the areas of living, moving and consuming that fulfil the INHERIT triple win. Pilots are designed by improving, scaling up/down, or transferring to a different context existing promising practices.
- Prepare for the implementation and evaluation of the pilots.
- Provide continuous support, monitoring and visibility of the pilots during the implementation process.
- Provide interim recommendations to participant organisations that integrate environmental and health impacts and encourage collaborative partnerships.



Where are we now?

- We are in the end of the phase of **defining the twelve pilot projects.**
- This is done applying the INHERIT Conceptual Analytical Framework model – already described – in close collaboration with the partners responsible for evaluating the pilots.
- 12 + pilots will be presented primo December in a Project Steering Group Meeting meeting where the final decisions are to be taken.



And how did we get there?




- Spring 2017 we developed together with the evaluators a set of criteria as a basis for selection of promising practises.
- Based on this we made the first selection



WP4 startup date March 1st 2017

The selection process is based on following steps:

1. Departure point: all practices included in database WP2.
2. Criteria for selection developed together with WP5 (evaluation). London meeting April 5-6.
3. Criteria list and work plan sent out for comments from all partners and revised accordingly. April 10.
4. Each partner invited to rank three practices from step 1 according to criteria. Deadline April 20.
5. Teleconference for feedback and discussions April 27.
6. Additional information from partners regarding practices were gathered and willingness to participate checked. April 27- June 6.
7. 22 practices were presented as potential practices by WP4 leaders in a meeting in Amsterdam. Nine practices were selected as most likely to be included. Three practices were excluded and the rest were still seen as possible. June 13th.
8. Additional information were requested from partners about all 19 practices still up for selection. June 14.
9. Additional information were provided from partners and included in the final preparation for the steering group meeting. Deadline June 22.
10. June 27th, 16 practices were sent out, 12 are nominated as most likely to be included in the final selection.



WHERE IN EUROPE?



Practice: The Malvik Path

Country: Norway

Organization: NTNU

Summary of practice:

The Malvik path is part of the local municipal development plan, aiming to increase physical activity, social interactions and participation among the total population, and preferably marginalized/low SES groups. The path is easily access able, free of charge and universally designed.

Evaluation of the practice based on the 13 criteria:

The promising practice is knowledge and experience based and follows the CAF. It has potential to improve both physical and mental health through increasing physical activity levels and social interactions. It has potential to deliver a healthier local environment by decreased air pollution (emission from cars and buses), and thereby contribute to global environmental sustainability. The practice has a clear emphasis on changing behavior.

The practice addresses the INHERIT areas greenspace, living and moving and promote social inclusion and participation, healthier lifestyle and sustains the environment. The promising practice is implemented on a continuous basis. The implementation of the practice requires however financial support (high cost). Evaluation on-going.

Possible to evaluate both qualitatively, quantitatively and with regards to cost-benefit.

Potential for evaluation (Qualitative, Quantitative, process, cost-benefit):

Qualitative-, quantitative-, as well as cost benefit data are available. The practice is already process evaluated. Available data: population survey 2012 and 2016, costs related to the development and implementation of the path/recreational area, baseline on use of the area before the path was established, data on use of the area/path today (continuous counting conducted by counter device: data on number of passes, pr day, time of the day), survey data on users characteristics and physical activity level and use of the area etc), focus group interviews among elderly, children, and municipality administration/managers and project members. All types of evaluations are possible, but project partner is especially interested in cost-benefit analysis.

Scoring according to the criterias

Knowledge based	CAF	Cross/multi-sectoral	User involvement	Transferability	Scalability	Evaluated	Data collection 2018	Interim Outcomes 2019	Low SES	Suitable size	Reasonable resources needed	Triple wins
X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		X

Summer - early fall 2017



- Early fall we received *suggestions on pilot improvements and further piloting from partners. This was basis for new discussions with WP5*
- A set of questions which was prepared together with WP5 evaluating partners, was sent out to pilot partners in order to further describe the pilots and inform the implementation and evaluation plans (in Sept).
- Overview with description of each pilot.

Fall 2017



- Finalize description of the 12 + pilots so that the Inherit-partners can choose the 12 pilots that will be implemented and evaluated (12 for qualitative evaluations, 6 of these for quantitative evaluations and 3 for cost/benefit analysis).
- Establishing dialogue with partners that follow up pilots in their countries
- Make sure together with the partners, stakeholders and evaluators that the pilots are:
 - Possible to implement (willingness and support to carry through)
 - Have the resources needed (economy, backing etc.)
 - Have an innovative element
 - Possible to evaluate

Now



- Working on the: Background information for the development of the implementation plan for each pilot
- Dialogue meetings with pilot partners and/or the pilot implementers to settle final details
- Primo December: Final decision on pilots

Spring 2018: The implementation of PILOTS



- As soon as the steering group has made its decision on the pilots primo December, WP 4's task is to provide continuous support, monitoring and visibility of the pilot project implementation processes

This presupposes



continuous **communication** and **collaboration**
with the INHERIT partners

What have we learned so far?



- The closer we work – the better the quality of the INHERIT deliverables
- A strong coordinator like EuroHealthNet is crucial to succeed in large projects such as INHERIT
- It is a great pleasure to work with all partners who provide important and broad knowledge, high competence and experience in this project

